

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,711		09/08/2003	Avetik Harutyunyan	23085-08273	6981	
758	7590	10/20/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FENWICK & WEST LLP				STOUFFER,	STOUFFER, KELLY M	
SILICON SILICON				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTA	IN VIEW,	CA 94041		1762		
•				5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>~_</u> _
		10/658,711	HARUTYUNYAN, AVETIK	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	.1	Kelly Stouffer	1762	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verone to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2006		
· <u>-                                     </u>		action is non-final.		
· -	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
·	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
	on of Claims	,		-
	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.			
-	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With Consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement		
٠/٤٩ ١	oralin(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or t	siconon requirement.		
Application	on Papers		·	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
\ \	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
ı	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	•
11)□ T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)∏ A	./ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
	All b) Some * c) None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, (-, -, (-,-	•
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.	
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	application from the International Bureau	· ·		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	ed.	
	·			
Attachment(	•	·	(DTO 440)	
_	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔃 Notice of Informal P		
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The response filed on 5 October 2006 was informal because the current status of the claims was not given. In addition, the previous Restriction requirement of 5 September 2006 is withdrawn and is replaced by the following.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a process, classified in class 427, subclass 248.1.
- II. Claims 20-27, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass715.
- III. Claim 28-42, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 689+.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in group I and in group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as sputtering.

Inventions in group I and in group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially

Art Unit: 1762

different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a film of carbon on a silicon oxide, aluminum oxide or magnesium oxide substrate. Claims 29-42 are drawn to a product as a product by process claim.

Inventions in group II and in group III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a product made by sputtering. The product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as any chemical vapor or physical vapor deposition apparatus.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Narinder Banait on 29 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1762

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER